

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,784	12/09/2003	Robert G. McNiece	LUCE001US0	4903
37141 75	90 08/11/2004	EXAMINER		
·	ETHER, FORTKORT	TRAN, THANG V		
8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY. SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	AUSTIN, TX 78759			
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/731,784	MCNIECE, ROBERT G.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thang Tran	2653			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence address			
Period fo						
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for the provided provided for the provided period for reply with, by the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the provided by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>29-38</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-27</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	v)			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business of the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 14-16 and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dahan et al. (US 6,137,763).

Dahan et al., according to Fig. 1, shows a detection system comprising all features of the instant claimed invention as interpreted below.

Regarding claim 1, see Fig. 1 which shows an electromagnetic radiation generated from a source (12) is directed by optical elements (4-18) onto a surface of a data storage device (100); and a multi-dimensional data stream reflected from the storage device (100) is received by a array detector (24).

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 5 see a holographic optical element 22, detector 24 and the light reflected from the disk 100.

Regarding claims 4, 6, see array detector 24.

Regarding claim 7, see modulated data recorded on disk 100.

Regarding claim 10, see disk 100.

Regarding claim 11, see the rejection applied to claim 1.

Regarding claim 12 see modulated data recorded on disk 100.

Regarding claim 14, see circuits 26-33 for measuring the second signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,784

Art Unit: 2653

Regarding claims 15 and 16, see recording medium 100 and the light beam irradiated on the disk 100.

Regarding claim 20, see Fig. 1 which shows a data storage medium (100); a sensor array (24); a mirror (16); and holographic lens (22) adapted to cooperate with the mirror so as to generate a hologram in the form of multiple data patterns that are focused upon the sensor array (24).

Regarding claims 21 and 24, holographic lens 22 and detector 24 in Fig. 1

Regarding claims 22 and 23, see light source (12).

Regarding claims 25-27, see respective disclosure of data recorded on the recording medium 100.

3. Claims 1-12 and 14-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komma et al. (US 5, 815,293).

Komma et al., according to Fig. 21, shows a detection system comprising all features of the instant claimed invention as interpreted below.

Regarding claim 1, see Fig. 21 which shows an electromagnetic radiation generated from a source (52) is directed by optical elements (53, 54, 27) onto a surface of a data storage device (23, 25); and a multi-dimensional data stream reflected from the storage device is received by a array detector (57).

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 5 see a holographic optical element 56, detector 57 and the light reflected from the disk.

Regarding claims 4, 6, see array detector 57.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,784

Art Unit: 2653

Regarding claim 7, see data recorded on disk 23 or 25.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, see media 23 and 25 and the reproduction of data therefrom.

Regarding claim 10, see disk 23 or 25.

Regarding claim 11, see the rejection applied to claim 1.

Regarding claim 12 see data recorded on disk 23 or 25.

Regarding claim 14, see Figs. 25A-25C and their respective disclosure for measuring the second signal.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, see recording medium 23 or 25 and the light beam irradiated thereon.

Regarding claims 17 -19, see media 23 and 25 and the reproduction of data therefrom.

Regarding claim 20, see Fig. 21 which shows a data storage medium (23 or 25); a sensor array (57); a mirror (54); and holographic lens (56) adapted to cooperate with the mirror so as to generate a hologram in the form of multiple data patterns that are focused upon the sensor array (57).

Regarding claims 21 and 24, holographic lens 56 and detector 57 in Fig. 21

Regarding claims 22 and 23, see light source (52).

Regarding claims 25-27, see respective disclosure of data recorded on the recording medium 23 or 25.

Regarding claim 28, see light source (52); a beam splitter (54); a plurality of light beams (S1 and S2); and a plurality of disk 23 and 25.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 29-38 are allowed.

Claims 13 and 29-38 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record, considered in combination or individually fails to suggest or fairly teach a device for generating a multidimensional signal including a combination of all limitations as particularly recited in each of claims 13,17,,19 and 31. Claims 18, 19, 30 and 32-38 are allowable with their respective parent claim.

Cited References

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references relate to an optical device for reading multidimensional signal from a recording medium.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thang Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1551. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 703 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,784

Art Unit: 2653

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thang Tran

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2653